INTERNATION JOURNAL OF MACHINE INTELLIGENCE FOR SMART APPLICATIONS (IJMISA)

Sustainability in Agriculture with Mobile, Field-Deployable Technologies

Aya Tarek Ahmed Hossam, Department of Architecture, Port Said University, Port Said, Egypt

Abstract

Sustainability in agriculture is a well-defined terminology today. Reaching the goals of sustainable agriculture is essential for food security, plant and animal health, and for supporting the economic status of our farming communities. Sustainable agriculture relies on understanding and promoting soil health, clean water usage, and mitigating the adverse effects of climate change. This review discusses the different facets of sustainability in agriculture with a focus on plant health diagnostic tools and mobile app technologies. The current practices in sustainable farming are discussed with regards to social and economic viability of farmers and the adoption and trust in new technologies such as mobile apps. The market penetration of these new technologies in agriculture is improving with a significant interest from technology developers and scientific communities to enable automated record-keeping and providing critical farm decisions in a timely manner. We also discuss the challenges and possible solutions in the development and adoption of these technologies for sustainable agriculture.

Introduction

Sustainable agriculture is essential for ensuring food security, environmental health, and economic viability for future generations. This includes soil health, water conservation, and climate change mitigation [1-9]. Soil Health protection and routine monitoring include sustainable practices, such as crop rotation, cover cropping, and reduced tillage, maintain and improve soil structure and fertility, preventing erosion and degradation. There is an emphasis on biodiversity that promotes the conservation of diverse plant and animal species, which enhances ecosystem resilience and productivity [1-5]. Water conservation includes efficient water use and management practices, like drip irrigation and rainwater harvesting, help preserve water resources and reduce pollution from agricultural runoff. Climate change mitigation involves carbon sequestration which involves practices like agroforestry, cover cropping, and reduced tillage help sequester carbon in soils and vegetation, reducing greenhouse gas emissions [32-40]. Reduced gas emissions involves practices in sustainable agriculture minimizes the use of synthetic fertilizers and pesticides, which are significant sources of nitrous oxide and methane emissions [3-10].

Understanding economic viability of sustainable agriculture is also important. Cost efficiency involves reducing our reliance on chemical inputs and adopting resource-efficient practices can lower production costs for farmers [11-17]. We want resilience to market fluctuations where diversified farming systems are less vulnerable to price swings and market demands, providing more stable income for farmers. Food security in sustainable agriculture enhances local food production, reducing dependency on imports and ensuring a stable food supply. Rural development provides support to the livelihoods of smallholder farmers and rural communities, promoting equitable economic development. The goal is to establish healthier communities by producing and consuming sustainably grown food which reduces exposure to harmful chemicals, promoting better health for farmers and consumers [15-25].

Current Practices in Sustainable Agriculture

Sustainable Agriculture relies on some key practices as mentioned here. Crop rotation and crop diversity prevents pest buildup and reduces the need for chemical pesticides, while enhancing the soil fertility and structure. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) combines biological, physical, and chemical methods to manage pests sustainably, while reducing our reliance on synthetic pesticides [18-29]. Organic farming avoids synthetic chemicals and GMOs, focusing on natural inputs and processes, while improving soil health and reducing pollution. Conservation tillage minimizes soil disturbance, preserving soil structure and organic matter, while reducing erosion and improving water retention. Cover Cropping involves planting cover crops during off-seasons to protect and enrich the soil, which suppresses weeds, reduces erosion, and improves soil fertility [28-35].

Plant Diagnostics

Field tests for plant diagnostics are crucial for identifying and managing plant diseases, pests, and nutrient deficiencies. Visual Inspection of plant samples depends on the observation of symptoms [20-33]. Leaf Spots can indicate fungal, bacterial, or viral infections. Wilting may indicate root rot, vascular diseases, or water stress. Yellowing of leaves can be due to nutrient deficiencies, diseases, or pest attacks. Stunted Growth often is a sign of nutrient deficiencies, root problems, or environmental stress.

A number of on-site diagnostic tools include visual observation using microscopes for close-up examination of plant tissues to identify pests, fungal spores, or symptoms [32-40]. Nutrient test kits allow for quick assessment of soil or plant tissue nutrient levels. Pathogen detection kits provide rapid tests for common plant pathogens. Portable spectrometers allow for non-destructive analysis of plant health and nutrient status. A number of smartphone apps are diagnostic apps that use artificial intelligence to identify diseases, pests, and nutrient deficiencies from photos.

Advanced techniques for plant diagnostics include PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction) techniques where on-site PCR devices allow for the rapid detection of specific DNA/RNA sequences of pathogens [40-46]. ELISA (Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay) kits are available for detecting plant viruses and bacteria. Remote sensing and drones equipped with multispectral or hyperspectral cameras are being developed to assess plant health and detect stress symptoms over large areas. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) strategies combine various management strategies based on diagnostic results to control pests and diseases [35-44]. It is also important to collaborate with experts and consult with agronomists, plant pathologists, and extension services for complex field issues. Related to plant health and field diagnostics.

Pattern Analysis can be used in assessing the distribution pattern of symptoms across the field to determine if the issue is localized or widespread [34-40]. A number of sampling and laboratory tests can be done to identify problems. Soil sampling involves collecting soil samples for nutrient analysis, pH testing, and pathogen detection. Plant tissue sampling involves collecting leaves, stems, or roots for laboratory analysis to detect pathogens or nutrient levels. Water sampling involves testing irrigation water for contaminants or pathogens.

Challenges in Plant Diagnostics

Plant diagnostics, which involves identifying plant diseases, pests, and nutrient deficiencies, faces several challenges [23-33]. These challenges can affect the accuracy, speed, and effectiveness of diagnostic processes. New diseases can emerge, and existing pathogens can evolve, presenting ongoing challenges to diagnostics. Some pathogens exhibit significant genetic variability, which can affect the effectiveness of diagnostic tools. Plants can be affected by more than one issue simultaneously (e.g., a disease and a pest), complicating diagnosis [7-22]. Many plant diseases and nutrient deficiencies produce similar symptoms (e.g., yellowing leaves), making it difficult to pinpoint the exact cause without detailed analysis. Environmental factors like weather, soil conditions, and microclimates can influence symptom expression and complicate diagnosis. Abiotic stresses (e.g., drought, extreme temperatures) can mask or mimic symptoms of biotic diseases. Some plant diseases progress quickly, requiring fast diagnosis and intervention to prevent significant crop loss. Certain diagnostics need to be performed within specific windows of time related to the plant's growth stage or the pathogen's life cycle.

The cost and expense of advanced diagnostics like PCR and ELISA, while accurate, can be expensive and out of reach for many farmers [35-46]. Even when a diagnosis is made, the recommended treatment might be too costly for some farmers to implement. Smallholder and resource-limited farmers often lack access to advanced diagnostic tools and technologies. Remote and rural areas may lack laboratories and facilities for in-depth analysis. Collecting and transporting samples to a lab can be logistically challenging, especially in remote areas. Delays in getting samples analyzed can lead to delayed treatment and increased crop losses. Accurate diagnosis often requires specialized knowledge and training, which may not be available to all farmers or extension workers.

Possible Solutions to Address Challenges in Sustainable Agriculture

Keeping up with new diseases, diagnostic techniques, and treatment options requires ongoing education and training [1-9]. It is important to provide the necessary education and training so farmers are equipped with knowledge and skills for sustainable practices. We need to encourage the adoption of IPM practices to reduce reliance on chemical inputs and promote sustainable management strategies [7-15]. Farmers need practical and actionable advice based on diagnostic results, which should be integrated into their overall management practices. We need to establish more diagnostic labs and facilities in rural areas, and enhance communication networks with local communities for rapid information dissemination.

We need to encourage government policies that promote sustainable agriculture through incentives and regulations [3-10]. This requires implementing policies that support sustainable farming practices and diagnostic services, while providing financial and technical support to smallholder farmers. We also need to invest in research to develop new technologies and practices that enhance sustainability. Investing in research is important to understand emerging diseases and develop new diagnostic tools, while promoting collaborations between research institutions, governments, and private sectors. Researchers need to develop affordable, portable diagnostic tools and test kits, while utilizing mobile technology for remote diagnostics and consultation. We need to improve access to markets for sustainably produced goods, including certification and labeling schemes. By addressing these challenges and adopting sustainable practices, agriculture can meet the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs [3-10].

Mobile Apps for Sustainable Agriculture

As an example of new technologies being developed for sustainable agriculture, mobile apps and mobile software for field diagnostics are lucrative and promising [23-32]. Mobile apps for sustainable agriculture hold great promise in providing farmers with tools and information to enhance productivity and sustainability. Some applications include automation and process monitoring such as in field mapping, soil health analysis, crop monitoring, and pest management. They provide agricultural information and services to farmers, helping them make better farming decisions. Some apps offer advanced data analytics for crop management, helping farmers make informed decisions based on field data. For example, Plantix is an AI-powered app that helps farmers diagnose plant diseases, pests, and nutrient deficiencies by analyzing photos of affected plants. It serves as a diagnostic tool to provide treatment recommendations, crop advisory, and weather forecasts. These apps are valuable tools for promoting sustainable agriculture by helping farmers improve their practices, make informed decisions, and enhance productivity while minimizing environmental impact [17-29].

Challenges in Deploying Mobile Apps for Sustainable Agriculture

However, several challenges need to be addressed to maximize the effectiveness and pervasiveness of mobile apps for agriculture [1-9]. Many rural and remote areas lack reliable internet access, which can hinder the use of mobile apps that require online features. Not all farmers have access to smartphones, particularly in developing regions where feature phones are still prevalent [2-9]. Apps need to be intuitive and user-friendly, considering that many farmers may not be tech-savvy. Apps must be available in local languages and consider varying literacy levels among users. Ensuring that the information provided by the app is accurate, up-to-date, and locally relevant is crucial. Providing real-time data, such as weather forecasts and market prices, requires reliable data sources and frequent updates. Agricultural practices vary widely by region; apps need to provide context-specific advice and recommendations. Apps should respect local customs and practices, providing information that aligns with local agricultural traditions. Farmers need to be aware of the benefits of using mobile apps, requiring effective promotion and outreach efforts [27-32]. Building trust among farmers that the app provides valuable and reliable information is essential for widespread adoption. Ensuring that mobile apps can integrate with other systems and technologies that farmers use (e.g., farm management software, sensors). Facilitating data exchange between different platforms and devices to provide comprehensive support to farmers. Protecting the personal and farm data of users from unauthorized access and misuse. Ensuring the app is secure from cyber threats and vulnerabilities. The cost of smartphones, data plans, and premium app features can be a barrier for many farmers. Developing and maintaining high-quality apps requires substantial financial investment, which may be challenging to secure.

Possible Solutions in Deploying Mobile Apps for Sustainable Agriculture

Addressing these challenges requires improving internet connectivity in rural areas through government and private sector initiatives, and promoting the availability of affordable smartphones and data plans [22-43]. We also need to conduct user research to design intuitive interfaces that cater to the needs of farmers. We need to provide multilingual support and consider local literacy levels in app design. Data management requires partnering with reliable data sources to ensure the accuracy and timeliness of information, while implementing robust data validation and update mechanisms [34-40]. We also need to engage with local communities and agricultural extension services to promote the app and build trust, while collecting feedback from users to continuously improve the app. We need to offer training programs and resources to help farmers understand and use the app effectively. We need to encourage government policies that support the development and use of agricultural apps, and provide subsidies or financial support for farmers to access necessary technologies. By addressing these challenges, mobile apps can become more effective tools in promoting sustainable agriculture, helping farmers to improve their practices, increase productivity, and enhance environmental stewardship [40-46].

Conclusion

In summary, sustainable farming is essential for ensuring long-term food security, preserving natural resources, and promoting economic and social well-being [38-46]. New technologies are being developed to enable sustainable farming, including the use of mobile apps for various applications and helping with decision-making for farmers. Technological advancements play a crucial role in promoting sustainable farming by enhancing efficiency, reducing environmental impact, and improving productivity. There are a number of challenges in deploying new technologies for sustainable farming, including the cost, efficiency, robustness, and social equity. These challenges need to be addressed by technology developers who collaborate with agronomists to develop and deploy the best feasible solutions in the field. New policy changes could enhance the pace of technology development and deployment where the policies can help mitigate the challenges while improving productivity and sustainability of agricultural productivity.

References

[1]. Yoshida, S. and Shirasu, K. Plants that attack plants: molecular elucidation of plant parasitism. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 15, 708-713, 2012.

[2]. Wezel, A., S. Bellon, T. Dore, C. Francis, D. Vallod, and C. David. Agroecology as a science, a movement and a practice. A review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development 29: 503–515, 2009.

[3]. Parashar, A., Plant-in-chip: Microfluidic system for studying root growth and pathogenic interactions in Arabidopsis. Applied Physics Letters, 98, 263703, 2011.

[4]. Mitchum MG. Soybean Resistance to the Soybean Cyst Nematode Heterodera glycines: An Update.

Phytopathology. 106(12):1444-1450, 2016.

[5]. Underwood, W. and Somerville, S. C. Focal accumulation of defenses at sites of fungal pathogen attack. J. Exp. Bot. 59, 3501-3508, 2008.

[6]. Petre, B. and Kamoun, S. How do filamentous pathogens deliver effector proteins into plant cells? PLoS Biol. 12, e1001801, 2014.

[7]. Beeman, Z. Njus, G. L. Tylka, Chip Technologies for Screening Chemical and Biological Agents against Plant-Parasitic Nematodes, Phytopathology, 106 (12), 1563-1571, 2016.

[8]. Niblack TL, Arelli PR, Noel GR, Opperman CH, Orf JH, Schmitt DP, Shannon JG, Tylka GL. A Revised Classification Scheme for Genetically Diverse Populations of Heterodera glycines. J Nematol. 34(4):279-88, 2002.

[9]. X. Ding, Z. Njus, T. Kong, et al. Effective drug combination for Caenorhabditis elegans nematodes discovered by output-driven feedback system control technique. Science Advances. 2017, eaao1254.

[10]. J. Saldanha, A. Parashar, J. Powell-Coffman, Multi-parameter behavioral analyses provide insights to mechanisms of cyanide resistance in Caenorhabditis elegans, Toxicological Sciences 135(1):156-68, 2013.

[11]. Charlermroj, R., Himananto, O., Seepiban, C., Kumpoosiri, M., Warin, N., Oplatowska, M., et al. Multiplex detection of plant pathogens using a microsphere immunoassay technology. PloS One 8 (4), e62344, 2013.

[12]. Niblack TL, Lambert KN, Tylka GL. A model plant pathogen from the kingdom Animalia: Heterodera glycines, the soybean cyst nematode. Annu Rev Phytopathol. 2006;44:283-303.

[13]. R. Lycke, A. Parashar, Microfluidics-enabled method to identify modes of Caenorhabditis elegans paralysis in four anthelmintics. Biomicrofluidics. 7(6), 64103, 2013.

[14]. Carr JA, Parashar A, Gibson R, Robertson AP, Martin RJ, Pandey S. A microfluidic platform for high-sensitivity, real-time drug screening on C. elegans and parasitic nematodes. Lab Chip. 11(14):2385-96, 2011.

[15]. S. Pandey, Marvin H White, Parameter-extraction of a two-compartment model for whole-cell data analysis, Journal of Neuroscience Methods, 120(2), 131-143, 2002.

[16]. Fang, Y., Ramasamy, R. P. Current and prospective methods for plant disease detection. Biosensors 5 (3), 537–561, 2015.

[17]. J. Jensen, Z. Njus, G. Tylka, Video Analysis Software To Measure Nematode Movement With Applications For Accurate Screening Of Nematode Control Compounds. Journal of Nematology, Volume 48, Issue 4, pp. 335-336, 2016.

[18]. J.P. Jensen, U. Kalwa, G.L. Tylka, Avicta and Clariva Affect the Biology of the Soybean Cyst Nematode, Heterodera glycines. Plant Disease,102(12):2480-2486, 2018.

[19]. Sankaran S, Mishra A, Ehsani R, Davis C (2010) A review of advanced techniques for detecting plant diseases. Comput Electron Agric 72:1–13

[20]. D. Cruz, D. Mayfield, Z. Njus, M. Beattie, L. Leandro and G. Munkvold, "Sensitivity of Fusarium species from soybean roots to seed treatment fungicides". Phytopathology Conference, 104(11), 29-29, 2014.

[21]. Vishal Patel, Austin Chesmore, Christopher M. Legner, Santosh Pandey, Trends in Workplace Wearable Technologies and Connected-Worker Solutions for Next-Generation Occupational Safety, Health, and Productivity, Advanced Intelligent Systems, Article ID 2100099, 2021.

[22]. Velkov VV, Medvinsky AB, Sokolov MS, Marchenko AI. Will transgenic plants adversely affect the environment? J Biosci. 2005 Sep;30(4):515-48.

[23]. Santosh Pandey, Upender Kalwa, Taejoon Kong, Baoqing Guo, Phillip C. Gauger, David Peters, Kyoung-Jin Yoon, "Behavioral Monitoring Tool for Pig Farmers: Ear Tag Sensors, Machine Intelligence, and Technology Adoption Roadmap", Animals, Vol. 11, Issue 9, pages 2665, 2021.

[24]. S. Pandey, Analytical modeling of the ion number fluctuations in biological ion channels, Journal of nanoscience and nanotechnology, 12(3), 2489-2495, 2012.

[25]. Akwete Bortei-Doku, Marvin H. White, Simulation of biological ion channels with technology computer-aided design. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, 85, 1, 1-7, 2007.

[26]. Christopher M. Legner, Gregory L Tylka, Santosh Pandey, "Robotic agricultural instrument for automated extraction of nematode cysts and eggs from soil to improve integrated pest management", Scientific reports, Vol. 11, Issue 1, pages 1-10, 2021.

[27]. B. Chen, A. Parashar, "Folded floating-gate CMOS biosensor for the detection of charged biochemical molecules", IEEE Sensors Journal, 2011.

[28]. Santosh Pandey, Augustine Beeman, Leland E Harker, Jared P Jensen, Upender Kalwa, Taejoon Kong, Zach L Njus, Gregory L Tylka, Christopher M. Legner, "Methods, apparatus, and systems to extract and quantify minute objects from soil or feces, including plant-parasitic nematode pests and their eggs in soil", US Patent 10,900,877, January 26, 2021.

[29]. Ding X, Njus Z, Kong T, et al. Effective drug combination for Caenorhabditis elegans nematodes discovered by output-driven feedback system control

technique. Science Advances. eaao1254, 2017.

[30]. Njus Z, Kong T, Kalwa U, et al. Flexible and disposable paper- and plastic-based gel micropads for nematode handling, imaging, and chemical testing. APL Bioengineering. 1(1):016102, 2017.

[31]. Buckelew LD, Pedigo LP, Mero HM, Owen MD, Tylka GL. Effects of weed management systems on canopy insects in herbicide-resistant soybeans. J Econ Entomol. 2000 Oct;93(5):1437-43.

[32]. Upender Kalwa, Christopher M. Legner, Elizabeth Wlezien, Gregory Tylka, and Santosh Pandey, "New methods of cleaning debris and high-throughput counting of cyst nematode eggs extracted from field soil", PLoS ONE, 14(10): e0223386, 2019.

[33]. Z. Njus, D. Feldmann, R. Brien, T. Kong, U. Kalwa, "Characterizing the Effect of Static Magnetic Fields on C. elegans Using Microfluidics", Advances in Bioscience and Biotechnology, Vol. 6, No. 9, pp. 583-591, 2015.

[34]. J. A. Carr, R. Lycke, A. Parashar, Unidirectional, electrotactic-response valve for Caenorhabditis elegans in microfluidic devices. Applied Physics Letters, 98, 143701, 2011.

[35]. J. Saldanha, A. Parashar, J. Powell-Coffman, "Multi-parameter behavioral analyses provide insights to mechanisms of cyanide resistance in Caenorhabditis elegans", Toxicological Sciences 135(1):156-68, 2013.

[36]. Owen MD, Zelaya IA. Herbicide-resistant crops and weed resistance to herbicides. Pest Manag Sci. 61(3):301-11, 2005.

[37]. Joseph, A., Lycke, R. Decision-making by nematodes in complex microfluidic mazes. Advances in Bioscience and Biotechnology 2(6), 409-415, 2011.

[38]. Mytelka, L.K. 2000. Local systems of innovation in a globalized world economy. Industry and Innovation 77(1): 15–32, 2000.

[39]. R. Lycke, Microfluidics-enabled method to identify modes of Caenorhabditis elegans paralysis in four anthelmintics, Biomicrofluidics 7, 064103, 2013.

[40]. Parashar A, Lycke R, Carr JA. Amplitude-modulated sinusoidal microchannels for observing adaptability in C. elegans locomotion. Biomicrofluidics. 5(2):24112, 2011.

[41]. T. Kong, S. Flanigan, M. Weinstein, U. Kalwa, C. Legner, "A fast, reconfigurable flow switch for paper microfluidics based on selective wetting of folded paper actuator strips", Lab on a Chip, 17 (21), 3621-3633, 2017.

[42]. J. Saldanha, J. Powell-Coffman. The effects of short-term hypergravity on Caenorhabditis elegans. Life Science Space Research, 10:38-46, 2016.

[43]. Wezel, A., and V. Soldat. A quantitative and qualitative historical analysis of the scientific discipline of agroecology. International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability 7: 3–18, 2009.

[44]. J.P. Jensen, A.Q. Beeman, Z.L. Njus et al. Movement and Motion of Soybean Cyst Nematode Heterodera glycines Populations and Individuals in Response to Abamectin. Phytopathology. 108(7):885-891, 2018.

[45]. Hall, A.J., Sulaiman, R.V., Clark, N.G. and Yoganand, B. From measuring impact to learning institutional lessons: An innovation systems perspective on improving the management of international agricultural research. Agricultural Systems 78: 213–241, 2003.

[46]. Lombardo L, Coppola G, Zelasco S. New Technologies for Insect-Resistant and Herbicide-Tolerant Plants. Trends Biotechnol. 34(1):49-57, 2016.